A Remark on Nondecidabilities of Initial Value Problems of ODEs

Günter Hotz
Fakultät für Mathematik und Informatik
Universität des Saarlandes

01.12.2003

[1]Anschrift: D-66041 Saarbrücken
Emailadresse: hotz@cs.uni-sb.de
URL:www-hotz.cs.uni-sb.de

The Problem

We consider the problem to decide if a system of ordinary differential equations

$$\dot{z}(t) = f(z(t)), \quad z(t) := (z_1(t), ..., z_n(t))$$
$$\text{the function} \quad f \quad \text{given by a program on a} \quad \mathbf{R}-\text{machine}$$

has a solution for given inititial conditions

$$z(0) := (\zeta_1, ..., \zeta_n) \in \mathbf{R}^n$$

over the interval $[0, b)$ with $b \in \mathbf{R}$.

1 A Special Solution

A finite $\mathbf{R}$-machine is a model of a computer based on the existence of a finite number m of registers, which are variables over $\mathbf{R}$. In future when speaking about $\mathbf{R}-$machines we always mean finite ones. We always include a program on the machine when speaking of a $\mathbf{R}$-machine. This makes the machine to a deterministic device. The machine offers for a finite set O of indices $j \in O$ a set of operations

$$x_i := \tau_j(x_l, x_k) \quad \text{for} \quad i, k, l \in \{1, ..., m\} \tag{1}$$

The set of operations usually contains the arithmetic operations $(+, -, *, /)$ and conditional operations to determine the sequence of operations to be applied depending from the actual content of the registers. Assuming the machine to be deterministic means that the available operations are partial mappings

$$(x_{t+1}, j_{t+1}) := \tau(x_t, j_t) \quad \text{for} \quad x_{t+1}, x_t \in \mathbf{R}^m \quad j_{t+1}, j_t \in O, \quad t \in N.$$

The assumption that the machine is deterministic means that for each state there exists at most one operation, which is applicable to a given state of the machine. We define the sequence

$$(x_0, 0), ..., (x_{t+1}, j_{t+1}) := \tau(x_t, j_t), ... \tag{2}$$

to be the computation of our machine, if the operations for all steps are well defined.
Some of the operations may produce states on which none of the available

operations is applicable.In this case the computation stops. An example for this is the division by zero. But there are other situations to be considered. The programmer may have forgotten to specify for some cases how the computation has to branch or he wishes the computation to stop in a certin state. It is well known that for machines of this type there does not exist any general procedure to decide, if programs terminate or go on in the computation for ever.
We define a sytem of ODEs to interpolate the seqence (2). We do this by connecting the neighbours of points $z_t := (x_t, j_t) \in \mathbf{R}^{m+1}$ generated by the computation (1) by edges and describe these polygons $z(t)$, which are continuous curves as far they are defined and they are differentiable in the intervals $(t, t+1]$. The polygons which interpolate the computations obviously are solutions of the differenial equation system

$$\dot{z}(t) = \tau(z(\lfloor t \rfloor)) - z(\lfloor t \rfloor) \quad \text{for} \quad \lfloor t \rfloor \leq t < \lfloor t \rfloor + 1 \tag{3}$$

uniquily determined by the given initial value $z(0) := (x_0, 0)$. This means that we have a procedure to decide the halting problem for our program, if there exists a decidable necessary and sufficient condition for the existence of a soluion of the initial value problem of ODEs over the infinite interval. This means that the following theorem holds.

Theorem 1 *There does not exist an universal procedure to decide if the intial value problem of a system of ODEs with the right side being computable on* $\mathbf{R}-$*machines, has a solution over the interval* $[0, \infty)$

This problem is frequenty called the mortality problem. The right sides of the ODEs we constructed are not continuous and this could be the reason that the theorem holds. The following section shows that this is not a property, which is essential for this result.

2 ODEs with Differentiable Right Sides

As stated before it holds for the definition areas $Q(\tau_i)$ of the operations τ_i

$$Q(\tau_i) \bigcap Q(\tau_l) = \emptyset \quad \text{for all} \quad i, l \in O.$$

Therefore we are able to describe the set (1) of operations by a single partial computable mapping

$$S : \mathbf{R}^n \to \mathbf{R}^n$$

We assume that we have an $\mathbf{R}-$machine which computes $S(x)$ for $x \in \mathbf{R}^n$ in a time unit if S is defined for x. We interpolate the points of the sequence

$$x_0, x_1, ..., x_{r+1} := S(x_r), ...$$

by a sequence of inductively computable polynomials, such that for the curve $x : [0, T] \to \mathbf{R^n}$ we constructed the k first derivations do exist in each point $x(t)$ for $0 \leq t \leq T$.
We define for each $r \in \mathbf{N}$ n polynomials

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{P}_r &:= (p_{1,r}, p_{2,r}, ..., p_{n,r}) \\ p_{i,r}(t) &:= a_{i,r,k} * t^k + ... + a_{i,r,0} \quad \text{for} \quad i \in \{1, ..., n\} \end{aligned}$$

which satisfy the following conditions

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{P}_0(t) &:= x_0 + t * (x_1 - x_0), \\ \mathcal{P}_r(0) &:= x_r \quad \text{for} \quad r \in \mathbf{N}, \\ \mathcal{P}_r(1) &:= x_{r+1} \quad \text{for} \quad r \in \mathbf{N}, \\ \frac{d^l}{dt^l}\mathcal{P}_{r+1}(0) &:= \frac{d^l}{dt^l}\mathcal{P}_r(1) \quad \text{for} \quad r \in \mathbf{N}, \quad l \in \{1, ..., k\}. \end{aligned}$$

These conditions define the polynomial systems uniquely because $\mathcal{P}_0$ is defined and assuming $\mathcal{P}_r$ being defined it follows that the system $\mathcal{P}_{r+1}$ is defined uniquely because the derivations of degree $0, 1, ..., k$ of the polynomials $\mathcal{P}_{r+1}(t)$ of degree k for $t := 1$ are given by $\frac{d^l}{dt^l}\mathcal{P}_r(1)$ for $l \in \{0, ..., k\}$.
We define now an ODEs

$$\dot{x} = \frac{d}{dt}F(x, t)$$

by putting for $x_0 \in \mathbf{R}^r$

$$F(x_0, t) := \mathcal{P}_{\lfloor t \rfloor}(t - \lfloor t \rfloor).$$

$x(t) := F(x_0, t)$ is the uniquely determined solution of the defined differential equation with initional condition x_0. Obviously F is $\mathbf{R}$-computable and k-times differentiable. This construction works for each $\mathbf{R}$-machine and each program on this machine. The program does not stop if and only if $F(x_0, t)$ is defined for the whole interval $[0, \infty)$. $\mathbf{R}$-machines are universal if they can use 3 or more $\mathbf{R}$-registers. Therefore the following theorem holds.

Theorem 2 *For each $k \geq 3, k \in \mathbf{N}$ there exists an ODEs with $k-$times differentiable and $\mathbf{R}$-computable right side for which no universal algorithm on a $\mathbf{R}-$machine exists to decide if a given initial condition for this ODEs has a solution over the interval $[0, \infty)$*

If we measure each iteration step of S not by a unit of time but shorten these steps geometrically as $c * 2^{-n}$ then we get the more general

Theorem 3 *There exists ODEs with k-time differentiable right sides, for which no universal algorithm exists to decide if a given initial condition has a solution over a given interval* $[0, c)$.

It is welknown that it is not generally decidable, if a procedure of a program for given initial conditions during the computation will by applied. This means, that it is undecidable if a given operator of (1) will be applied for given initial conditions. We generalize our interpolation by allowing for different states a different degree k of differentiability. It follows directly the

Theorem 4 *It is generally undecidable if for the solution of an initial condition problem for ODEs there exists for a given interval a solution, which is $l-$times, $l \in \mathbf{N}, l > 0$ differentiable.*

The results show that we may consider ODEs as infintesimal machines and that there exist universal machines of this type an that all the undecidability results of discrete machines may find their equivalent in the infinitesimal case.

3 Literature

Relationships between discrete and continuous dynamical systems have been studied since the time of Euler. We use discrete algorithms to approximate the solutions of differential equations and to prove the existence of solutions of ODEs under certain conditions. C. Moore [8] raises the question of decidability of stability questions of dynamical systems. The paper "Analog computation with continuous ODEs" of M. S. Brannicky [3] is motivated by the question if analog computers are as universal as digital computers. He shows that computations on Turing machines and special cases of Turing machines can be simulated by solutions of ODEs which fullfills a Lippschitz condition. He mentions the relevance of this result for decidability questions but does not report a non decidability result. V.D. Blondel,.. [2] prove that the mortality and convergence of peacewise affine discrete dynamical systems is undecidable. This result corresponds to our theorem 1 and gives a weaker form of non decidability of the stability problem.
Our approach is based on **R**-machines, which are idealisations of Turing machines. This machines have been introduced under this name by Blum,..[1]. We studied the problem of the stability of solutions of initial value problems in [4], [5], [7] in connection with infinte computations with a convergent

output - we called this configuration analytical machine - and proved some hierarchy theorems and proved that the stability of this continuous dynamical systems is not decidable even if we allow analytic computations. The halting problem of Turing machines is decidable with analytic machines.
A. Nerode and W. Kohn [9] introduced models for hybrid systems that means sytems which are composed by continuous and discrete components. Such systems describe technical equipments which controle continuous physical processes by programs on digital computers. Decidability results about such systems where proved by Henzinger [6]. The problem of the diagnosis of such systems is hard to decide. In [10] for a special class of such problems, which people over several had tried in vain to solve on base of pure logical methods, has been shown, that this problem can be solved by numerical methods.

References

[1] L. Blum, M. Shub, S. Smale: *On a Theory of Computation and complexity over the real numbers:NP completness, recursive functios and universal machines* Bull.(New Series)Amer. Math. Soc. 2(1) (1989) 1-46 ICSI Technical Report TR-88-012

[2] V.D. Blondel, O. Bournez, P.Koiran, C. Papadimitriou, J.N. Tsitsiklis: *Deciding stability and Mortality of Piecewise Affine Danamical Systems* Theoretical Comp. Sciences 255,(1-2) pp. 687-696, 2001

[3] M. S. Branicky:*Analog Computations with Continuous ODEs*,Proc. IEEE Workshop Physics and Computation, Dallas, pp 265-274, Nov. 1994

[4] T. Chadzelek, G. Hotz: *Analytic Machines*, Theoretical Computer Sciences 219, pp 151-167, 1999
T. Chadzelek:*Analytische Maschinen.* Dissertation an der Universitt des Saarlandes, 1998 http://www-hotz.cs.uni-sb.de/bib/Dissertationen/

[5] T.A. Henzinger:*Hybrid automata with finite bisumulators*Proc. 22nd ICALP: Automata, Languages and Programing, LNCS 944,pp. 324-335, Springer-Verlag, 1995

[6] G. Hotz, B. Schieffer, G. Vierke:*Analytic Machines*, TR95-025, Electronic Colloquium on Computational Complexity, 1995. http://www.eccc.uni-trier.de/eccc

[7] G. Hotz: *Ueber Berechenbarkeit fraktaler Strukturen*, Vortrag in der Klassensitzung der Akademie der Wissenschaften und Literatur, Mainz am 5. Februar 1993, Abhandlungen der Akademie; Jg. 1994,Nr. 1 pp. 1-40

[8] C. Moore: *Generalized Shifts: Unpredictability and Undecidability in Dynamical Systems.*Nonlinearity, 4, pp. 199-230 1991

[9] A. Nerode and W. Kohn:*Models for hybrid systems: Automata, topologies, stability.* TR. 93-11,Mathematical Sciences Institute, Cornell Univ., Mar. 1993

[10] B. Schieffer:*Diagnose komplexer Systeme am Beispiel eines Tank-Ballast-Systems.*Disssertation an der Universitt des Saarlandes, 1996 http:www-hotz.cs.uni-sb.de/bib/Dissertationen
B. Schieffer and G. Hotz:*Fault Diagnosis in heterogeneous complex systems.* Proceedings of the 3rd International Conference on Concurrent Engineering and Electronic Design Automation, CEE96,1996